

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,332 03/16/2004		Naoki Kimura 14	14875-040003/C1-806PCT-US	4875-040003/C1-806PCT-US 3754	
26161 7	10/31/2006	• •	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
11011 00 1-01	HARDSON PC		BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	1644			
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/802,332	KIMURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michail A. Belyavskyi	1644	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		Examiner	Art Unit					
	zororo mor imig or an rippear ziror							
	* *	Michail A. Belyavskyi	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE F	REPLY FILED <u>06 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) Extens have b under	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee large to the filed is the date form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,							
may re	educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL			,				
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 October 2006</u> . A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl NDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
	(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	· ,					
•	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29. Claim(s) objected to: 48.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) rejected: 28,30 and 41-47. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
42 F	See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/00/00) Danca No.(a)						
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(P10/36/06) Paper No(s)						
								
	•							

Application No. 10/802,332

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly proposed claims 49-56 have been never considered and raises new issue that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant arguments have been fully considered, but have not been found convincing. Applicant arguments addressed amended claims which are not currently entered.

MICHAIL BELYAVSKYI, PH.D. PATENT EXAMINER

10/27/06